October 10, 2019

A Regular Meeting of the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster, Erie County, New York, was held at the Lancaster Town Hall, 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York, on the 10th day of October 2019, at 7:00 P.M., and there were

PRESENT:

JOHN MIKOLEY, MEMBER
JILL MONACELLI, MEMBER
LAWRENCE PIGNATARO, MEMBER
TYLER SOJKA, MEMBER
FRANK SWIGONSKI, MEMBER
RICHARD QUINN, CHAIRMAN

ABSENT:

DANIEL BEUTLER, MEMBER

ALSO PRESENT:

DIANE M. TERRNOVA, TOWN CLERK
KEVIN LOFTUS, TOWN ATTORNEY
MATTHEW FISCHIONE, CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER

The Affidavits of Publication and Posting of this Public Hearing are on file and a copy of the Legal Notice has been posted.
PETITION OF: KATIE AND ROBERT DERRICK

THE 1st CASE CONSIDERED BY THE ZONING Board of Appeals was that of the petition of Katie and Robert Derrick, 1 Biscayne Drive, Lancaster, New York 14086 for two [2] variances for the purpose of installing a shed and fence in a required front yard on premises owned by the petitioners at 1 Biscayne Drive, Lancaster, New York, to wit:

A. A variance from the requirements of Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 17A (3) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster. The storage shed is positioned ten [10] feet from the right of way on Seitz Road.

Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 17A,(3) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster stipulates that no accessory structure shall project into a front yard. The petitioners, therefore, request a twenty-five [25] foot, front yard lot line variance.

B. A variance from the requirements of Chapter 50 Zoning, Section 35C. of the Code of the Town of Lancaster. The petitioners propose to erect a six [6] foot high fence in a required front yard.

Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 35C. of the Code of the Town of Lancaster limits the height of a fence or wall extending into a front yard area to three [3] feet in eight. The petitioners, therefore, request a three [3] foot fence height variance.

The Clerk presented and entered into evidence the following items:

Duly executed petition of the applicants with exhibits and schedules attached thereto.

Copy of a letter notifying the petitioners of the time and place of this public hearing.

Copy of a letter notifying owners of property within 100 feet of requested variance of the time and place of this public hearing.

PERSONS ADDRESSING THE BOARD

Katie Derrick, Petitioner
Robert Derrick, Petitioner

Proponent
Proponent
IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF: KATIE AND ROBERT DERRICK

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED
BY MR. PIGNATARO, WHO MOVED ITS
ADOPTION, SECONDED BY MR. SOJKA
TO WIT:

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has reviewed the application of Katie and Robert Derrick and has heard and taken testimony and evidence at a public hearing held before it at 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York, on the 10th day of October 2019, and having heard all parties interested in said application pursuant to legal notice duly published and posted, and

WHEREAS, the applicants are the present owners of the premises in question.

WHEREAS, the property for which the applicants are petitioning is within a Residential District, (R1) as shown on the Zoning Map of the Town of Lancaster.

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has made the following findings:

That no undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That no detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That the benefit sought by the applicants cannot be achieved by some other method, feasible for the applicants to pursue, other than the area variance relief sought.

That the requested area variance relief is not substantial.

That the proposed area variance relief will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.

That the alleged difficulty is self-created but not to the extent necessary to preclude the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That this board has taken into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the variance relief sought is granted as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant.

That within the intent and purposes of this ordinance the variance relief sought, if granted, is the minimum variance necessary to afford relief.

That such fence will not unduly shut out light or air to adjoining properties.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that based upon these findings, the relief sought be and is hereby GRANTED.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call which resulted as follows:

- MR. BEUTLER WAS ABSENT
- MR. MIKOLEY VOTED YES
- MS. MONACELLI VOTED YES
- MR. PIGNATARO VOTED YES
- MR. SOJKA VOTED YES
- MR. SWIGONSKI VOTED YES
- MR. QUINN VOTED YES

The resolution granting the variance was thereupon ADOPTED.

October 10, 2019
PETITION OF: RYAN SMITH

THE 2nd CASE CONSIDERED BY THE ZONING Board of Appeals was that of the petition of Ryan Smith, 63 Heritage Drive, Lancaster, New York 14086 for one [1] variance for the purpose of installing a shed in a public drainage easement on premises owned by the petitioner at 63 Heritage Drive, Lancaster, New York, to wit:


Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 17A.(5) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster prohibits all structures other than fencing within any drainage or access easement. The petitioner, therefore, requests a seven [7] foot, four [4] inch public drainage easement variance.

The Clerk presented and entered into evidence the following items:

Duly executed petition of the applicant with exhibits and schedules attached thereto.

Copy of a letter notifying the petitioner of the time and place of this public hearing.

Copy of a letter notifying owners of property within 100 feet of requested variance of the time and place of this public hearing.

PERSONS ADDRESSING THE BOARD

Ryan Smith, Petitioner

Proponent
IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF: RYAN SMITH

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED
BY MR. SWIGONSKI, WHO MOVED ITS
ADOPTION, SECONDED BY MR. SOJKA
TO WIT:

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has reviewed the application of Ryan Smith and has heard and taken testimony and evidence at a public hearing held before it at 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York, on the 10th day of October 2019, and having heard all parties interested in said application pursuant to legal notice duly published and posted, and

WHEREAS, the applicant is the present owner of the premises in question.

WHEREAS, the property for which the applicant is petitioning is within a Residential District, (R1) as shown on the Zoning Map of the Town of Lancaster.

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has made the following findings:

That an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some other method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than the area variance relief sought.

That the requested area variance relief is substantial.

That the proposed area variance relief will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.

That the petitioner failed to carry the burden of establishing that strict compliance with the Zoning Ordinance would cause practical difficulties rendering the property unusable.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT
RESOLVED that based upon these findings, the relief sought be and is hereby CONSIDERED.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call which resulted as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VOTED</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR. BEUTLER</td>
<td>WAS ABSENT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. MIKOLEY</td>
<td>VOTED</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. MONACELLI</td>
<td>VOTED</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. PIGNATARO</td>
<td>VOTED</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. SOJKA</td>
<td>VOTED</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. SWIGONSKI</td>
<td>VOTED</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. QUINN</td>
<td>VOTED</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The resolution granting the variance was thereupon DENIED.

October 10, 2019
PETITION OF: DONALD SUCHYNA

THE 3rd CASE CONSIDERED BY THE ZONING Board of Appeals was that of the petition of Donald Suchyna, 6 Alyssum Court, Lancaster, New York 14086 for one [1] variance for the purpose of constructing a covered porch on premises owned by the petitioner at 6 Alyssum Court, Lancaster, New York, to wit:

A variance from the requirements of Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 10C.(3)(a) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster. The proposed construction would be within a required front yard.

Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 10C.(3)(a) of the Code of the Town of Lancaster requires a thirty-five [35] foot front yard setback. The petitioner, therefore, requests a 2.33 foot front yard setback variance.

The Clerk presented and entered into evidence the following items:

Duly executed petition of the applicant with exhibits and schedules attached thereto.
Copy of a letter notifying the petitioner of the time and place of this public hearing.
Copy of a letter notifying owners of property within 100 feet of requested variance of the time and place of this public hearing.

PERSONS ADDRESSING THE BOARD

Donald Suchyna, Petitioner  Proponent
IN THE MATTER OF: DONALD SUCHYNA

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED
BY MS. MONACELLI, WHO MOVED ITS
ADOPTION, SECONDED BY MR. SOJKA
TO WIT:

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has reviewed the application of Donald Suchyna and has heard and taken testimony and evidence at a public hearing held before it at 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York, on the 10th day of October 2019, and having heard all parties interested in said application pursuant to legal notice duly published and posted, and

WHEREAS, the applicant is the present owner of the premises in question.

WHEREAS, the property for which the applicant is petitioning is within a Residential District, (R1) as shown on the Zoning Map of the Town of Lancaster.

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has made the following findings:

That no undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That no detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That the benefit sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by some other method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than the area variance relief sought.

That the requested area variance relief is not not substantial.

That the proposed area variance relief will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.

That the alleged difficulty is self-created but not to the extent necessary to preclude the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That this board has taken into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the variance relief sought is granted as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant.

That within the intent and purposes of this ordinance the variance relief sought, if granted, is the minimum variance necessary to afford relief.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that based upon these findings, the relief sought be and is hereby GRANTED.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call which resulted as follows:

- MR. BEUTLER WAS ABSENT
- MR. MIKOLEY VOTED YES
- MS. MONACELLI VOTED YES
- MR. PIGNATARO VOTED YES
- MR. SOJKA VOTED YES
- MR. SWIGONSKI VOTED YES
- MR. QUINN VOTED YES

The resolution granting the variance was thereupon ADOPTED.

October 10, 2019
PETITION OF: GILBERTO LOPEZ

THE 4th CASE CONSIDERED BY THE ZONING Board of Appeals was that of the petition of The petition of Gilberto Lopez, 71 Tranquility Trail, Lancaster, New York 14086 for one [1] variance for the purpose of installing a fence in a required front yard on premises owned by the petitioner at 71 Tranquility Trail, Lancaster, New York, to wit:


Chapter 50, Zoning, Section 35C. of the Code of the Town of Lancaster limits the height of a fence or wall extending into a front yard or an exterior side yard [considered a front yard equivalent] to three [3] feet in height. The petitioner, therefore, requests a three [3] foot fence height variance.

The Clerk presented and entered into evidence the following items:

Duly executed petition of the applicant with exhibits and schedules attached thereto.

Copy of a letter notifying the petitioner of the time and place of this public hearing.

Copy of a letter notifying owners of property within 100 feet of requested variance of the time and place of this public hearing.

PERSONS ADDRESSING THE BOARD

Gilberto Lopez, Petitioner

Proponent
IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF: GILBERTO LOPEZ

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS OFFERED
BY MR. MIKOLEY, WHO MOVED ITS
ADOPTION, SECONDED BY MR. PIGNATARO
TO WIT:

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has reviewed the application of Gilberto Lopez and has heard and taken testimony and evidence at a public hearing held before it at 21 Central Avenue, Lancaster, New York, on the 10th day of October 10, 2019, and having heard all parties interested in said application pursuant to legal notice duly published and posted, and

WHEREAS, the applicant is the present owner of the premises in question.

WHEREAS, the property for which the applicant is petitioning is within a Agricultural Residential District, (AR) as shown on the Zoning Map of the Town of Lancaster.

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals of the Town of Lancaster has made the following findings:

That no undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That no detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That the benefit sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by some other method, feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than the area variance relief sought.

That the requested area variance relief is not not substantial.

That the proposed area variance relief will not have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.

That the alleged difficulty is self-created but not to the extent necessary to preclude the granting of the area variance relief sought.

That this board has taken into consideration the benefit to the applicant if the variance relief sought is granted as weighed against the detriment to the health, safety and welfare of the neighborhood or community by such grant.

That within the intent and purposes of this ordinance the variance relief sought, if granted, is the minimum variance necessary to afford relief.

That such fence will not unduly shut out light on air to adjoining properties.

That such fence will not create a fire hazard by reason of its construction or location.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that based upon these findings, the relief sought be and is hereby GRANTED.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call which resulted as follows:

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MR. BEUTLER</td>
<td>WAS ABSENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. MIKOLEY</td>
<td>VOTED YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS. MONACELLI</td>
<td>VOTED YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. PIGNATARO</td>
<td>VOTED YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. SOJKA</td>
<td>VOTED YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. SWIGONSKI</td>
<td>VOTED YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR. QUINN</td>
<td>VOTED YES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The resolution granting the variance was thereupon ADOPTED.

ON MOTION DULY MADE, SECONDED AND CARRIED, the meeting was adjourned at 7:47 P.M.

Signed
Diane M. Terranova, Town Clerk and Clerk, Zoning Board of Appeals
Dated: October 10, 2019